

Serial No. 09/734,805

William Hsiao-Yu Ku

Page 12 of 12

**Section IV:****AMENDMENT UNDER 37 CFR §1.121****REMARKS****Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112 Second Paragraph**

In the Office Action dated August 12, 2003, the examiner has rejected claims 1 - 13 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to distinctly claim the subject matter for which the applicant regards as the invention, particularly for the double appearance of the word "protocol" in line 3 of Claim 1. No further rejection basis for claims 2 - 13 were provided in the Office Action, so applicant assumes that they are rejected on the basis of being dependent on a claim rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. If this is incorrect, please provide an advisory action to clarify the basis for the rejection.

This amendment is presented in order to delete one occurrence of the word "protocol", in order to clarify the claims, and in accordance with examiner's suggestion. Entry of the amendment is requested as it places the claims in better form for consideration during Appeal, and does not present a need for additional search or present new matter.

###